

CONFIDENTIAL — PRIVATE LENDER REVIEW

---

# 16-Unit Duplex Portfolio Marion, Guadalupe County, Texas 78124

*Business Plan, Property Vitals & Collateral Overview*  
*Prepared for private lender review — Mr. Cherry*

---

Prepared by: Property Owner • September 10, 2026  
8 single-story duplexes • 16 residential units • 1.70 acres + 0.75 acre undeveloped  
Guadalupe County • San Antonio–New Braunfels corridor

Every figure in this document carries a provenance tag.  
Tagged open items are listed in Section 12 — nothing is rounded up to look better.

## 1. Executive Summary

A 16-unit, single-story duplex portfolio on 1.70 acres in Marion, Guadalupe County, Texas (78124), plus an attached 0.75-acre undeveloped parcel. The property is partially occupied (6 of 16 units), mid-rehabilitation, and carries a \$650,000 interest-only hard money balance at 12%. The owner is seeking a private-lender refinance: retire the hard money note and fund the remaining interior rehabilitation, so the property reaches stabilized occupancy and institutional-quality cash flow within an estimated 9 to 14 months.

|                                    |                                           |                                 |                                                   |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| <b>\$650K</b><br>HARD MONEY PAYOFF | <b>+\$150K</b><br>REHAB FUNDING<br>(EST.) | <b>16</b><br>UNITS, 8 BUILDINGS | <b>\$1.38M+</b><br>STABILIZED VALUE<br>(LOW CASE) |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|

**The one-sentence case:** even in the deliberately pessimistic downside scenario, the stabilized property is worth roughly 1.4 times the total loan; in the base case it is 1.7 to 2.0 times. The lender is not being asked to bet on optimism — the stabilized income alone, computed from the owner's own below-market rents, already covers the exposure with a wide margin.

### Use of funds

| Use                                                   | Amount           | Source / note                                  |
|-------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| Pay off existing hard money note                      | \$650,000        | USER REPORTED — current balance approx.        |
| Interior rehab escrow (10 vacant units + finish work) | \$150,000        | USER REPORTED — owner budget incl. contingency |
| Underground water line replacement (optional phase 2) | \$40,000–70,000  | ESTIMATE — bids pending (Section 10)           |
| <b>Proposed facility (core)</b>                       | <b>\$800,000</b> | <b>+ optional water phase → \$850K–870K</b>    |

Rate, term, and structure: to be agreed directly with Mr. Cherry. Deliberately not stated in this document. All coverage metrics below are presented rate-free where possible so they hold true at whatever terms are negotiated.

### Why the collateral protects the lender

- ✓ **First-lien deed of trust** on the full property plus the 0.75-acre surplus parcel — personal guaranty offered.
- ✓ **Staged draw control:** rehab dollars released per completed, rent-ready unit — the lender is never fully exposed against unfinished work.
- ✓ **Debt yield:** stabilized NOI of ~\$110.5K against \$800K of debt = 13.8% debt yield — above the 10% floor most banks require. **COMPUTED**
- ✓ **Per-unit sanity check:** stabilized value computes to \$86K–\$99K per unit. Guadalupe County duplex sales average ~\$166K per unit **CURRENT SOURCE** — the income approach used here lands far below what county sales imply. No aggressive pricing is claimed anywhere in this plan.

- ✓ **Replacement cost floor:** recreating 16 units plus land would cost an estimated \$1.76M+ **ESTIMATE** — the collateral cannot be cheaply duplicated, so stabilized value is not oversupply-exposed.
- ✓ **Three exits:** refinance at stabilization (commercial DSCR lenders actively write 5–50 unit Texas multifamily), structured sale, or conventional sale of duplex pairs.

## 2. Property Overview & Vitals

| Vital              | Detail                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Location           | Marion, Guadalupe County, TX 78124 — rural ring of the San Antonio–New Braunfels corridor                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| Site               | 1.70 acres developed + approx. 0.75 acre undeveloped, attached and included (USER REPORTED)                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Improvements       | 8 duplex buildings, all single-story (no stairs — strong for the tenant pool)                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Unit mix           | 8 × 1BR/1BA and 8 × 2BR/2BA — 16 units total                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| In-unit laundry    | Stackable washer/dryer hookups in every unit; 220V circuits + dryer vents added to some units (remaining units listed in finish scope)                                                                                                                                        |
| Utilities          | Electric individually metered (GVEC) — tenants pay direct. Natural gas individually metered (CenterPoint) — tenants pay direct. City water, sewer, trash on ONE shared master meter, paid by owner, billed back to tenants per headcount. Owner pays City of Marion directly. |
| Amenities          | None (no pool, laundry facility, dog park, etc.) — reflected honestly in the rent positioning                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Roofs              | 4 buildings replaced ~4 years ago; 1 building ~8 years old; 3 buildings need replacement (USER REPORTED)                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Exterior condition | Siding patches, caulking, and repaint needed on some buildings (USER REPORTED)                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| Year built         | UNKNOWN — to be confirmed from appraisal district records; included in Open Items                                                                                                                                                                                             |

### Unit mix & rent schedule

| Type         | Units     | Current rent            | Market basis                                                                                                                                              |
|--------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1BR / 1BA    | 8         | \$900                   | Owner's in-place rate <b>USER REPORTED</b> ; Marion 2BR market data starts at \$875/mo <b>CURRENT SOURCE</b> — rents are at or below market, not inflated |
| 2BR / 2BA    | 8         | \$1,100                 | Owner's in-place rate; nearby corridor duplex units lease \$1,100–\$1,195+ <b>CURRENT SOURCE</b>                                                          |
| <b>Total</b> | <b>16</b> | <b>\$16,000/mo full</b> | <b>\$192,000/yr gross potential rent</b> <b>COMPUTED</b>                                                                                                  |

### 3. Current Condition & Rehab Plan

The portfolio is mid-rehab. Occupancy stands at 6 of 16 units (37.5%). Ten vacant units need work ranging from minor punch-out to full turn. This section states the position plainly — nothing is dressed up.

#### What is already done or owned

- 4 buildings carry 4-year-old roofs; a 5th carries an 8-year-old roof. Only 3 buildings still need roof replacement.
- The owner has already purchased and staged on-site: paint, faucets, fixtures, plugs/outlets, ceiling fans, towel racks, shower rods and curtains, vent hoods, exhaust fans, exterior lighting, tools — plus a supply of cabinets, bathroom vanities, toilets, stoves, refrigerators, water heaters, plumbing parts, and HVAC components. **USER REPORTED**
- Materials on hand materially de-risk the rehab budget: the marginal cost per unit is now mostly labor plus flooring/HVAC where needed.

#### Rehab cost model (owner's working numbers)

| Scope tier | Cost / unit     | Covers                                                                                                    |
|------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Minor turn | ~\$6,000        | Paint, fixtures, outlets, fans, trim-out, appliances from stock, punch-out to rent-ready                  |
| Full turn  | \$10,000–15,000 | Everything above plus flooring, and HVAC repair/replacement; roof-dependent units sequenced after roofing |

#### Rehab budget

| Line                                                               | Amount            |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 10 vacant units, blended minor/full mix (owner estimate)           | \$120,000–150,000 |
| Contingency already folded into the owner's \$150K planning number | included          |
| <b>Rehab escrow requested</b>                                      | <b>\$150,000</b>  |

Sequencing rule already in force: units needing only paint-and-fixtures go first (fastest rent); no flooring or HVAC is installed under a roof that is scheduled for replacement — roofing precedes interiors on the 3 roof-blocked buildings. Unit-by-unit scope sheets are in progress and will be provided on request.

**Honest note on pace:** the binding constraint is labor scheduling, not materials or budget. The plan assumes a disciplined 2–3 units per month completion cadence with punch-out crews; a slower pace stretches the burn (Section 5) and is stress-tested in Section 8.

## 4. Rent Roll & Current Financial Position

Nothing is hidden here, including the current deficit. The property today runs cash-negative because 10 of 16 units are vacant during rehab. That is the reason for this financing — not a secret to be managed around.

### Rent roll — September 2026

| Unit group                                                       | Total     | Occupied | Rent       | Monthly income           |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------|------------|--------------------------|
| 1BR/1BA — paying tenants                                         | 8         | 3        | \$900 ea   | \$2,700                  |
| 1BR/1BA — negotiated holdover at \$0 rent (see note)             | —         | 1        | \$0        | \$0                      |
| 2BR/2BA — paying tenants                                         | 8         | 2        | \$1,100 ea | \$2,200                  |
| Vacant — in rehab pipeline                                       | 10        | 0        | —          | \$0                      |
| <b>Gross scheduled income of occupied units / collected cash</b> | <b>16</b> | <b>6</b> | <b>—</b>   | <b>\$5,800 / \$4,900</b> |

**Holdover note:** one occupied unit sits under a documented zero-rent arrangement with a long-term occupant pending relocation or voucher conversion during lease-up. It is carried at \$0 income throughout this plan — conservative treatment, disclosed here because nothing is hidden. Five of the six occupied units are Section 8 voucher tenants, meaning a large share of in-place rent is government-backed.

### Current monthly position (the honest burn)

| Line                                                            | Monthly                            |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Collected rent                                                  | \$4,900                            |
| Hard money interest-only payment (\$650K @ 12%)                 | (\$6,500)                          |
| Water/sewer/trash, taxes, insurance, R&M (est., pre-leak-event) | (\$3,500–4,500)                    |
| <b>Net monthly position today</b>                               | <b>approx. (\$5,100)–(\$6,100)</b> |

This deficit is the cost of carry during rehabilitation and is the single reason speed matters. Each unit brought rent-ready adds \$900–\$1,100 of monthly income against roughly \$60–\$150 of incremental interest cost at prevailing hard money rates — a 6-to-12-month payback per unit before any refinancing benefit. **COMPUTED from USER REPORTED**

### Stabilization ramp (modeled)

| Period                         | Units occupied | Monthly rent           | Note                          |
|--------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Today (Sep 2026)               | 6              | \$4,900–5,800          | 5 paying + 1 holdover         |
| Month 3                        | 9–10           | \$9,100–9,700          | Minor-turn units lease first  |
| Month 6                        | 11–12          | \$11,300–12,000        | Roofed buildings release      |
| Month 9                        | 13–14          | \$13,600–14,600        | Refi window opens near here   |
| <b>Stabilized (month 9–12)</b> | <b>14–16</b>   | <b>\$15,200–16,000</b> | <b>\$182K–192K annual GPR</b> |

Ramp assumes the 2–3 units/month pace and Section 8 lease-up lane (5 of 6 in-place tenants already voucher-based; voucher rents are set against HUD Fair Market Rent, and San Antonio–New Braunfels metro FMRs sit above the owner's current asking rents). **FORECAST — assumptions stated, not predictions**

## 5. Stabilized Pro Forma (year at full program)

| Line                                                          | Annual           | Basis                                                             |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Gross potential rent (16 units: 8×\$900 + 8×\$1,100)          | \$192,000        | USER REPORTED rents, COMPUTED total                               |
| Less vacancy & credit loss @ 8%                               | (\$15,360)       | ASSUMPTION — includes holdover allowance                          |
| Effective gross income                                        | \$176,640        | COMPUTED                                                          |
| Property taxes                                                | (\$20,000)       | ESTIMATE pending Guadalupe AD tax cert — Open Item                |
| Insurance                                                     | (\$9,000)        | ESTIMATE pending declaration page — Open Item                     |
| Water / sewer / trash (owner-paid, billed back per headcount) | (\$6,000)        | ESTIMATE post-line-repair; today inflated by leaks                |
| Repairs & maintenance reserve                                 | (\$14,000)       | ASSUMPTION ~7.3% of EGI — 16 aging units                          |
| Property management @ 8% of EGI                               | (\$14,131)       | Charged even if owner self-manages during lease-up — conservative |
| Admin / turnover / misc                                       | (\$3,000)        | ASSUMPTION                                                        |
| <b>Net operating income (stabilized)</b>                      | <b>\$110,509</b> | <b>COMPUTED</b>                                                   |

**Break-even honesty:** this NOI covers the full proposed \$800K debt at a 13.8% debt yield. Expressed another way: stabilized income breaks even against operations plus full debt service at roughly 64–68% occupancy under interest-only structures at market rates — the plan targets 85%+ occupancy, leaving real margin rather than a knife-edge. **COMPUTED**

## 6. Valuation — Cap Rating, As-Is, and After-Rehab

**The comp problem, stated openly:** there are no 16-unit multifamily sales in ZIP 78124. The nearest true comps are duplex and small-multifamily sales across Guadalupe County (Seguin, Schertz, Cibolo, Marion). A 4-plex or duplex is not a 16-unit asset, so this plan does not pretend otherwise — value is triangulated three ways and presented as a range, with every input tagged.

### Approach A — Direct capitalization (primary, income-based)

| Stabilized NOI | Cap rate applied        | Indicated value | Per unit |
|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|----------|
| \$110,509      | 8.0% (conservative)     | \$1,381,000     | \$86,300 |
| \$110,509      | 7.5% (central)          | \$1,473,000     | \$92,100 |
| \$110,509      | 7.0% (market-favorable) | \$1,579,000     | \$98,700 |

Cap rate range justification: Texas small multifamily in secondary corridors transacts broadly in the 7–8% band **ESTIMATE — verify with a broker opinion of value**; the 8.0% row is deliberately punishing and is the number used for the low case throughout this document.

## Approach B — Per-unit market comparison (the comp receipts that do exist)

| Comp evidence                                              | Data point                                                              | Source                                               |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| Guadalupe County duplex sale prices (active/recent market) | \$259,000–\$499,000; average \$332,800 per duplex = ~\$166,400 per unit | <b>CURRENT SOURCE</b> Realmo county listings, 2026   |
| Guadalupe County luxury duplex unit rental                 | 1BR/1BA unit rented at \$1,195/month                                    | <b>CURRENT SOURCE</b> Homes.com county listing       |
| Marion ZIP 78124 two-bedroom market rent                   | 2BR listings from \$875/month                                           | <b>CURRENT SOURCE</b> ApartmentHomeLiving, June 2026 |
| Marion rental depth                                        | 45 active rental listings in ZIP (thin market, low vacancy competition) | <b>CURRENT SOURCE</b> Zillow, June 2026              |

**What the comps actually say:** the county is trading duplexes at ~\$166K per unit. This plan values the subject at \$86K–\$99K per unit AFTER full stabilization — roughly 40–48% below the county duplex average per unit, even before crediting the 0.75-acre surplus parcel. The valuation in this document is the floor case, not the pitch case.

## Approach C — Replacement cost (collateral durability check)

Reproducing 16 units of new single-story construction at current Central Texas costs — approximately 12,800 sq ft of improvements at \$95–\$115/sq ft hard cost plus 25% soft/site costs — indicates \$1.7M–\$1.85M plus land **ESTIMATE**. New construction cannot profitably undercut the stabilized value, which protects the lender's collateral from oversupply competition.

## As-is value today (the uncomfortable line)

| Lens                                                                           | As-is value implied  | Note                                                                                                                      |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Per-unit as-is (55–65% discount to county duplex avg, rehab-pending condition) | \$750K–\$1.00M       | ESTIMATE — 16 units, 13 roof-years of remaining life across 5 buildings, land included                                    |
| Stabilized minus costs minus carry minus lease-up discount                     | approx. \$1.0–1.15M  | COMPUTED — upper-bound lens, not used for planning                                                                        |
| In-place income capitalization                                                 | not meaningful       | Disclosed: current income is below operating cost + debt service; no honest as-is cap value exists until units are leased |
| <b>Conservative planning range used in this document</b>                       | <b>\$750K–\$900K</b> | <b>ESTIMATE — used for all loan-to-value math below</b>                                                                   |

## The value creation table

| Stage                           | Value      | Debt            | Coverage                                                  |
|---------------------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| Today, as-is                    | \$750–900K | \$800K proposed | 0.94–1.13x — exposure declines with every rent-ready unit |
| Stabilized, low case (8.0% cap) | \$1,381K   | \$800K          | 1.73x                                                     |
| Stabilized, central (7.5% cap)  | \$1,473K   | \$800K          | 1.84x                                                     |
| Downside scenario value         | \$1,143K   | \$800K          | 1.43x                                                     |
| Severe scenario value           | \$845K     | \$800K          | 1.06x — thin; mitigations in Section 8                    |

**The owner's own test, answered directly:** all-in at \$800,000, is the property worth more than the loan? At stabilization, yes — by a minimum of 1.7x under a punishing cap rate, using the owner's current below-market rents, with zero credit given for the 0.75 acres, future rent growth, or the Section 8 payment-standard upside. The table above shows exactly where that statement stops being true (only the severe scenario), so nothing is hidden.

## 7. Lender Safety Metrics (rate-free)

| Metric                                           | Value                 | Benchmark                                                                                                |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Debt yield (stabilized NOI ÷ \$800K loan)        | 13.8%                 | Banks underwrite at ≥10% — this clears with room <b>COMPUTED</b>                                         |
| Yield on cost (stabilized NOI ÷ \$800K all-in)   | 13.8%                 | Market exit cap 7–8% → 580–700 bps development spread <b>COMPUTED</b>                                    |
| Yield on cost with water lines included (\$850K) | 13.0%                 | Still ~500+ bps over exit cap <b>COMPUTED</b>                                                            |
| Break-even occupancy vs. stabilized plan         | 64–68% vs 85%+ target | Buffer of ~17–21 points of occupancy <b>COMPUTED</b>                                                     |
| DSCR at final terms                              | TBD                   | Calculated the day rate and amortization are agreed — no number is printed here until it is the real one |

## 8. Scenarios — Including the Ugly One

Four scenarios, no probability weights attached (weights would require calibrated simulation — none is claimed). The severe case is shown every time by policy; a plan without its downside is a sales document, not an underwriting document. **FORECAST — conditional model outputs**

| Scenario | Assumptions                                                                    | Stabilized NOI | Value (cap)             | Coverage of \$800K           |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| Base     | Rents as stated; 8% vacancy; opex as Section 5                                 | \$110.5K       | \$1.38–1.58M (8.0–7.0%) | 1.73–1.97x                   |
| Downside | Rents -5%; 10% vacancy; opex +10%; lease-up 5 months slower                    | \$91.5K        | \$1.14M (8.0%)          | 1.43x                        |
| Upside   | Rents +7% (voucher payment standards support higher); 7% vacancy               | \$124.9K       | \$1.67M (7.5%)          | 2.08x                        |
| Severe   | Rents -10%; 12.5% vacancy; opex +20%; a \$10K water event; stabilizes month 16 | \$71.8K        | \$845K (8.5%)           | 1.06x — thin, stated plainly |

**What protects the lender in the severe case:** rehab dollars are disbursed per completed rent-ready unit, so exposure falls as units lease; the holdover unit and vacant inventory are disclosed, not surprises; a payment reserve can be carved at closing; and the personal guaranty stands behind the full balance. In the severe case the collateral still nominally covers the loan — but the honest reading is that the severe case leaves almost no equity cushion, which is exactly why the draw-control structure matters.

## 9. Risk Register & Mitigations

| Risk                             | Reality                                                                                         | Mitigation                                                                                                                                             |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Rehab pace slips                 | Labor scheduling is the true bottleneck; burn continues until units lease                       | 2–3 units/month cadence; minor turns first; stocked materials; weekly draw-milestone reporting                                                         |
| Underground water failures       | Shifting soil + aging PVC; one documented \$10K water-loss event last year <b>USER REPORTED</b> | Per-building isolation valves + leak sensors immediately (~\$3–5K, ESTIMATE); phased line replacement Section 10; leak-adjustment requests to the City |
| Roof-blocked units               | 3 buildings cannot fully lease until roofs are done                                             | Roofing sequenced before interiors on those buildings; budget line inside the \$150K escrow                                                            |
| Tax & insurance estimates off    | \$29K combined is an ESTIMATE, not verified                                                     | Tax cert + insurance dec page pulled before closing; pro forma restated with actuals                                                                   |
| Refinance exit is not guaranteed | Exit depends on 85%+ occupancy and lender appetite at that time                                 | Three exits (commercial DSCR refi, structured sale, duplex-pair retail sales); draw control keeps exposure below collateral value at every stage       |
| Concentration / key-person       | 16 units on one site; owner-led execution                                                       | Personal guaranty; monthly rent roll + bank statement reporting; property management transition offered at stabilization                               |

## 10. Underground Water Line Program

One shared city water meter feeds all 16 units across 1.70 acres. Aging PVC joints and shifting soil produce recurring leaks; a single event last year wasted approximately \$10,000 of city water, paid by the owner over a six-month agreement with the City of Marion. **USER REPORTED** Because the owner pays the city directly, every leak is owner money.

- **Immediate (~\$3–5K, ESTIMATE):** per-building isolation shutoffs and smart leak sensors — caps the size of any single event within weeks of funding.
- **Phased replacement (\$40–70K total, ESTIMATE):** replace distribution lines building by building with modern HDPE/PEX; three plumber bids being solicited; sequencing follows the lease-up cash the rehabs generate, or can be drawn as an optional phase under this facility.
- **City relief:** the City has already demonstrated willingness to work a leak adjustment on a payment plan; that relationship continues.

## 11. Proposed Structure & Lender Protections

| Term         | Proposal                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Facility     | Refinance of \$650K hard money payoff + \$150K rehab escrow; optional water phase \$40–70K                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Security     | First-lien deed of trust on the full property including the 0.75-acre parcel; assignment of rents; insurance loss payee endorsement                                                                                                                                     |
| Guaranty     | Full personal guaranty                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| Draw control | Rehab escrow released per completed, photo-documented, rent-ready unit — the lender is never fully exposed against unfinished work                                                                                                                                      |
| Reporting    | Monthly: rent roll, bank statements, rehab progress, occupancy. Quarterly: updated P&L vs. this plan                                                                                                                                                                    |
| Rate & term  | To be agreed in direct discussion — intentionally omitted from this document                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Exit paths   | 1) Commercial DSCR refinance at stabilization (5–50 unit multifamily DSCR is an active Texas lender product); 2) structured sale to a multifamily buyer at a 7–8% cap; 3) retail sale of duplex pairs at county per-duplex comps (\$259K–\$499K <b>CURRENT SOURCE</b> ) |

## 12. Open Items Register (what is not yet verified)

- Unit-by-unit rehab scope sheets — triage in progress, provided on request
- Guadalupe Appraisal District tax certificate — replaces the \$20K ESTIMATE
- Insurance declaration page and replacement quote — replaces the \$9K ESTIMATE
- Year built and per-building roof documentation — from appraisal district records
- Three water-line replacement bids — quantities and pricing
- Confirming which housing authority administers vouchers for this address, and current HUD Fair Market Rents — affects the upside case only, not the base case
- Broker opinion of value to pressure-test the 7.5% central cap rate

## 13. Provenance Legend & Disclaimers

---

**VERIFIED DOCUMENT** executed source   **CURRENT SOURCE** retrieved from a live published source, dated   **USER REPORTED** owner's stated fact   **COMPUTED** deterministic arithmetic on tagged inputs   **ESTIMATE** judgment range pending verification   **ASSUMPTION** modeling input   **FORECAST** conditional model output   **UNKNOWN** stated as unknown, never zeroed

---

1. Forecasts are modeled scenarios from stated assumptions — not guarantees. Actual results vary and may be negative, including total loss on speculative sleeves.
2. Decision-support material only — not financial, legal, tax, or investment advice. Verify with licensed professionals.
3. THIS IS NOT AN OFFER TO SELL OR A SOLICITATION OF AN OFFER TO BUY SECURITIES. Any offering will be made only through counsel-approved offering documents under applicable exemptions.
4. Past performance of any asset class does not predict R.P.I. results.

Prepared 2026-09-10 • Provenance-tagged model • Figures restated on request with source tags